Statistical Analysis of Network Data

James Boyle supervised by George Bolt

September 4, 2020

James Boyle supervised by George Bolt Statistical Analysis of Network Data

Network
$$G = (V, E)$$



Figure: A graph with $N_V = 10$ vertices

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

æ

Network
$$G = (V, E)$$

• Vertices $V = \{1, \dots, N_V\}$



Figure: A graph with $N_V = 10$ vertices

▲□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

æ

Network G = (V, E)

• Vertices
$$V = \{1, \ldots, N_V\}$$

• Edges $\{i, j\}$ joining vertices



Figure: A graph with $N_V = 10$ vertices

• • = • • = •

Network Basics

Network G = (V, E)



Adjacency matrix $A \in \mathbb{R}^{N_V \times N_V}$

$$a_{ij} = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } \{i,j\} \in E, \ 0 & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Network Characteristics

James Boyle supervised by George Bolt Statistical Analysis of Network Data

э

æ

< ∃ >

• degree - number of edges incident to a vertex

- degree number of edges incident to a vertex
- closeness centrality $c_{cl}(v) = \frac{1}{\sum_{u \in V} d(v,u)}$

- degree number of edges incident to a vertex
- closeness centrality $c_{cl}(v) = \frac{1}{\sum_{u \in V} d(v,u)}$
- betweenness centrality proportion of shortest paths between pairs of vertices passing through v

Random Graphs

æ

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Parameters: $N_V, K \in \mathbb{N}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$

Parameters: $N_V, K \in \mathbb{N}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$

• Split the N_V vertices into K classes (a priori or at random). Class memberships $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_{N_V})$

Parameters: $N_V, K \in \mathbb{N}, B \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$

- Split the N_V vertices into K classes (a priori or at random). Class memberships $c = (c_1, \ldots, c_{N_V})$
- $\mathbb{P}(\text{edge between vertices } i \text{ and } j) = b_{c_i c_j}$

Stochastic Block Model - Example







Measures of Vertex Centrality



Figure: Betweenness Centrality

э

Measures of Vertex Centrality







э

Figure: Closeness Centrality

Single network models are in general not suitable for modelling multiple network observations, e.g. brain scans.



Figure: Brain Networks[4]

Aim: Model multiple noisy realisations of a single "true" network, i.e. observations of the form

True Network + Noise

Aim: Model multiple noisy realisations of a single "true" network, i.e. observations of the form

True Network + Noise

For a binary network, noise can only manifest itself in the form of false positive and false negative observations

Model Assumptions[3]¹:

• True network $A \sim \text{StochasticBlockModel}(N_V, K, B)$

¹C. M. Le, K. Levin, E. Levina, et al. Estimating a network from multiple noisy realizations. Electronic Journal of Statistics, 12(2):4697-4740, 2018 = ->

Model Assumptions[3]¹:

- True network $A \sim \text{StochasticBlockModel}(N_V, K, B)$
- Observation noise $A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(n)}$ respects the block structure

¹C. M. Le, K. Levin, E. Levina, et al. Estimating a network from multiple noisy realizations. Electronic Journal of Statistics, 12(2):4697-4740, 2018 = ->

Model Assumptions[3]¹:

• True network $A \sim \text{StochasticBlockModel}(N_V, K, B)$

• Observation noise $A^{(1)}, \ldots, A^{(n)}$ respects the block structure Concretely, letting $P, Q \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times K}$ be the matrices of false positive and false negative rates respectively, we suppose that

$$egin{aligned} & A_{ij}^{(m)} \sim egin{cases} & ext{Bernoulli}(P_{c_ic_j}) & ext{if } A_{ij} = 0 \ & ext{Bernoulli}(1-Q_{c_ic_j}) & ext{if } A_{ij} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

¹C. M. Le, K. Levin, E. Levina, et al. Estimating a network from multiple noisy realizations. Electronic Journal of Statistics, 12(2):4697–4740; 2018



Multidimensional Scaling of Betweenness Centrality for Multiple Networks

Principle Coordinate 1

イロト イヨト イヨト

э



Multidimensional Scaling of Closeness Centrality for Multiple Networks

Principle Coordinate 1

Image: A matrix and a matrix

.⊒ →



Multidimensional Scaling of Degree Distribution for Multiple Networks

Principle Coordinate 1

イロト イヨト イヨト

Idea[4]²: Assign probabilities to networks based on their distance from a central, "true", network.

²Lunagomez S., Olhed, S. C., and Wolfe P. J. (2020). Modeling network populations via graph distances. Journal of the American Statistical Association (just-accepted):1–59

Idea[4]²: Assign probabilities to networks based on their distance from a central, "true", network.

e.g. For a true network G^{true} , the **Spherical Network Model** assigns

$$\mathbb{P}(G; G^{true}, \gamma) \propto \exp(-\gamma d(G, G^{true}))$$

²Lunagomez S., Olhed, S. C., and Wolfe P. J. (2020). Modeling network populations via graph distances. Journal of the American Statistical Association (just-accepted):1–59

★ ∃ ► < ∃ ►</p>

Inference - Very complicated, so approximate methods such as MCMCMLE or EMA must be used

Inference - Very complicated, so approximate methods such as MCMCMLE or EMA must be used

Single Network Models

Inference - Very complicated, so approximate methods such as MCMCMLE or EMA must be used

Single Network Models

Dynamic networks

Bibliography



2012.

▲□ ► < □ ► </p>